Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/gmdn0004

E. Sawronski
R. Wrahlen
6/7

MLP Summary
W/E 5/24/93

	All Stores	In MLP Stores		
	Penetration	Pass-Through	Compliance*	Co-Fund
1050	25%	90%	36%	23%
Portland	32%	63%	19%	38%
Indy	64%	54%	15%	-0-
Nashville	41%	100%	31%	7%
Mc Lanes	16%	100%	58%	-0-

2040634893

* Display / Call out / Pass Through (~~will be tested~~)

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/gmdn0004

MLP vs Portland Test

Week 1

- Element of Surprise – Portland
- Competitive Response – stronger for MLP
- Low Retail Penetration vs Stronger Pre Book
- Display, Callout, Pass Through

2040634894

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/gmdn0004

MLP Week 1

- Compliance Issues - Primarily POS NOT Pass Through

- Pass Through Non-Compliance
  - Cartons Outlet & Masters Skew
  - Packs & Cartons
  - Split offer (Short)

- MLP POS - BJR
  - Pm Legal

2040634895

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/gmdn0004

- MLP Running @ A&P Week 1
  - Featured in circular as month long.
  - Not In Week 2 Circular
  - No other POS MLP communication

- Preferred Shopper Program
  - 2 Price Files
  - Pass Through Non-Compliance

2040634896

2040634897

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/gmdn0004